

July 13, 2004

Ms. Elaine S. Hengen Assistant City Attorney City of El Paso 2 Civic Center Plaza, 9th Floor El Paso, Texas 79901

OR2004-5730

Dear Ms. Hengen:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 205011.

The El Paso Police Department (the "department") received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident, including all offense reports and the computer-aided dispatch ("CAD") printout. You state that you have released some of the responsive records, including basic information about the incident. See Gov't Code § 552.108(c)(basic information about arrested person, arrest, or crime is not excepted under section 552.108); see also Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'dn.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976); Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information deemed public by Houston Chronicle). You claim, however, that the remaining information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

We begin by addressing your claim under section 552.108. Section 552.108(a) of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "[i]nformation held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime...if: (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Generally, a governmental body claiming section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why the release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement. See Gov't Code §§ 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1), .301(e)(1)(A); see also

Ex parte Pruitt, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977). You state that the investigation and arrest information submitted as Exhibits B and D pertains to a pending criminal investigation. Based on your representations and our review, we determine that the release of this information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime. See Houston Chronicle, 531 S.W.2d 177 (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases). Thus, we determine that the department may withhold the information you seek to withhold in Exhibits B and D pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1). We note that you have the discretion to release all or part of this information that is not otherwise confidential by law. Gov't Code § 552.007.

Next, you contend that a portion of the information in the computer-aided dispatch reports submitted as Exhibit C is excepted under section 552.101 of the Government Code. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision," and encompasses information made confidential by other statutes. Sections 772.118, 772.218 and 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code make confidential the originating telephone numbers and addresses of 9-1-1 callers furnished by a service supplier. See Open Records Decision No. 649 (1996). Section 772.118 applies to emergency communication districts for counties with a population over two million. Section 772.218 applies to emergency communication districts for counties with a population over 860,000. Section 772.318 applies to emergency communication districts for counties with a population over 20,000. Subchapter E, which applies to counties with populations over 1.5 million, does not contain a confidentiality provision regarding 9-1-1 telephone numbers and addresses. See Health & Safety Code § § 772.401, et seq. You advise that the City of El Paso is part of an emergency communication district that was established under section 772.318. Based on your representation, we agree that the originating telephone number and address of the 9-1-1 caller that you have highlighted in Exhibit C are confidential under section 772.318 and must be withheld under section 552.101.

Finally, section 552.101 also encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy. Common-law privacy protects information if (1) the information contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) the information is not of legitimate concern to the public. *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. 540 S.W.2d at 683. In addition, this office has found that some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses are protected by common-law privacy. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on this finding, we need not reach your remaining claimed exceptions for the information in Exhibits B and D.

from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, specific illnesses, procedures, and physical disabilities). You have marked information in Exhibit C that you contend is protected by common-law privacy. Upon review of the information at issue, we agree that the information you have marked in Exhibit C is protected by common-law privacy and must be withheld under section 552.101.

In summary, the department may withhold the information you seek to withhold in Exhibits B and D pursuant to section 552.108(a)(1) of the Government Code. The department must withhold the originating telephone number and address of a 9-1-1 caller contained in Exhibit C pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 772.318 of the Health and Safety Code. The department must withhold the remaining marked information in Exhibit C pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with common-law privacy. The remainder of the CAD report submitted as Exhibit C must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within thirty calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within ten calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within ten calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within ten calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. Id. § 552.321(a); Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within ten calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

David R. Saldivar

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

DRS/seg

ID# 205011 Ref:

Enc: Submitted documents

Ms. Lisa Maldonado c: 7300 Cerro Negro El Paso, Texas 79912

(w/o enclosures)